e-ISSN: 2455-667X



#### **Annals of Natural Sciences** (Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved International Journal) Vol. 3(2), June 2017: 97-104 Journal's URL: http://www.crsdIndia.com/ans.html Email: crsdIndia@gmail.com

Annals of Natural Sciences

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Estimation of Natural Radioactivity and Radiation Doses in Soil Samples Collected from Jharkhand State, India

#### K.Y. Singh

Department of Physics, B.S.A. College, Mathura Email: drkysingh@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

In the present study radon exhalation rate and the activity concentration of radionuclides in soil samples collected from different places in Jharkhand state have been studied and evaluated. Gamma ray spectrometric measurement were carried out by using a NaI(Tl) detector at Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research-Department of Atomic Energy (AMD-DAE), New Delhi, India. Radon exhalation rate measurement is done using 'Can technique'. It was found that soil activity ranges from 28.71 to 97.05 Bq/Kg with an average 51.97 Bq/Kg for <sup>238</sup>U, 47.53 to 132.81 Bq/Kg with an average 85.34 Bq/Kg for <sup>232</sup>Th and 290.30 to 1363.82 Bq/Kg with an average 555.32 Bq/Kg for <sup>40</sup>K. Radon surface exhalation rate vary from 2.15 mBqm<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> to 89.35 mBqm<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>with an average 37.06 mBqm<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>. Radon mass exhalation rate vary from 0.08 mBq Kg<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> to 3.44 mBq Kg<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> with an average 1.42 mBg  $Kg^{-1}h^{-1}$ . The absorbed gamma doses (D) in air due to naturally occurring radionuclide's in the soil sample varied from 56.09 to 175.28 nGyh<sup>-1</sup> with an average value of 100.16 nGyh<sup>-1</sup>. The annual effective dose (De) varies from 0.069 to 0.214 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> with average value 0.123  $mSvy^{-1}$ . Measured value of radium equivalent activity ( $Ra_{eq}$ ) of sample collected from the area range from 123.14 to 372.71 Bq/Kg with an average 216.77 Bq/Kg. The value of internal hazards index  $(H_{ln})$  and external hazards index  $(H_{ex})$  varies from 0.41 to 1.21 and 0.33 to 1.00 respectively. Radiation hazard index (representative level index)  $I_{vr}$  varies from 0.73 to 1.97 Bg/Kg with an average value of 1.26 Bg/Kg.

*Key words:* Natural radioactivity, NaI(Tl) detector, Effective dose rate, Radium equivalent activity, Radiation hazard indices

Received: 19<sup>th</sup> April 2017, Revised: 24<sup>th</sup> May 2017, Accepted: 26<sup>th</sup> May 2017 ©2017 Council of Research & Sustainable Development, India

How to cite this article:

Singh K.Y. (2017): Estimation of Natural Radioactivity and Radiation Doses in Soil Samples Collected from Jharkhand State, India. Annals of Natural Sciences, Vol. 3[2]: June, 2017: 97-104.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Radioactive radionuclide's in soil are either remaining from the Earth's original crust, introduced by cosmic radiation, or absorbed from man-made releases. Humans have always been exposed throughout their period of existence to naturally occurring radionuclides present in variable amounts in our environment. To assess radiological health hazards, naturally occurring radionuclide's are being measured in soil, sand, marble, bricks etc throughout the world (Rahman, *et al.*, 2008). It is a fact that natural radioactivity is present everywhere in earth and the study of naturally occurring radionuclide's uranium, potassium and thorium present in the earth, s and radiation level in the environment is important for assessing the effect of radiation exposure to humane being due to both terrestrial and extraterrestrial sources (Rao NS, *et al.*, 2009). According to UNSCEARE (1993), about 87% of the radiation dose received by mankind s due to natural radiation sources and the remaining is due to anthropogenic radiation. The external radiation exposure arises mainly due to cosmic rays and terrestrial

## Síngh

radionuclide's occurring at trace levels in all soil. There are some regions in the world that are known for high background radiation areas (HBRAs), where the local geological controls and geochemical; effects causes enhanced levels of terrestrial radiation (MacKenzie, 2000; UNSCEAR, 2000; Mohanty, et al., 2004). Wide ranging radiation studies have been carried out in the HBRAs in Brazil, in China, in India, in Iran and in USA and Canada and in some other countries to estimate risks and effect of long-term radiation exposure. High radiation above the earth is mainly due to naturally occurring radionuclide's <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K. High altitudes areas are also affected by cosmic radiation. All over the world, many works are being carried out to map radioelements in soil and environmental gamma dose rate. Radon exhalation is one of the important parameter to find out the radiation risk. The objective of this study was radiological characterization of natural radioactivity in the soil, rock, sand and ash sample from various location of Jharkhand state, India. In present study, radon exhalation rates have been measured using 'Sealed Can technique' (Abu-Zarad, et al., 1988) and a low level gamma ray spectrometry was used for the estimation of U. Th and K concentration in the sample collected from different place of Rajmahal of Santhal Pergana Region, Jharkhand.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

# SAMPLING AND SAMPLE PREPARATION:

Samples were collected from different area of Rajmahal of Santhal Pergana Region, Jharkhand. The samples are different in nature like soil, coal, stone, sand etc. After collection, samples were crushed into fine powder by using Mortar and Pestle. Fine quality of the sample is obtained by using scientific sieve of 150 micron-mesh size. Before measurement samples were oven dried at 110°c for 24 h and the samples were then packed and sealed in an impermeable airtight PVC container to prevent the escape of radiogenic gases radon and thoron. About 100 gm sample of each material were used for measurement. Before measurement, the containers were kept sealed about four weeks so that equilibrium of the <sup>238</sup>U and their daughter products is reached. After attainment of secular equilibrium between <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and their decay products, the samples were subjected to low level gamma spectroscopic analysis.

## RADON ACTIVITY AND RADON EXHALATION RATE:

Radon exhalation is one of the important parameter to find out radiation risk from various building materials. The well known 'can-technique' was used using LR-115 type II plastic detector, as a solid state nuclear track detector was used for measurement of radon exhalation rate in which sample of measurement is enclosed in a sealed cylindrical can made of high grade plastic 7.5 cm height, 7.0 cm diameter and 0.5 mm thickness. The sensitive lower surface of Cellulose nitrate LR-115 (12 micrometer thickness) detector is freely exposed to register the alpha particles as shown in fig. 1. Nuclear tracks detected by the detector are not directly visible but can be visible by enlarging the molecular size using chemical etching. Different types of samples (Coal, flyash, soil etc) were collected from different location of power plants in Delhi. Collected samples were crushed in to powder from and sieved through a small mesh size (150 micron) to takeoff large size grain. For measurement, 100 gram of each sample was placed in a plastic can of size 7×7.5 cm. Radon and its progeny reach in equilibrium in nearly 4h and thus equilibrium activity of emergent radon can be obtained from the geometry of Can and time of exposure. After 90 days exposure, detectors were etched in 2.5 NaOH at 60°C for duration of 90 minute in a constant temperature water bath to detect the track. Resulting alpha tracks were counted using spark counter. From the track density the radon activity was obtained using the calibration factor 0.056 Tr cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>(Bq m<sup>-3</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>obtained from an earlier calibration experiment (Singh, et al., 1997). The surface exhalation rate of radon is obtained from the following expression:

$$E_{A} = \frac{CV\lambda}{A\left[T + \frac{1}{\lambda}\left[e^{-\lambda t} - 1\right]\right]} \qquad \dots (1)$$

For radon mass exhalation rate-

1

$$E_{M} = \frac{CV\lambda}{M[T + \frac{1}{\lambda} \{e^{-\lambda t} - 1\}]} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Where,  $E_A$  is radon surface exhalation rate (Bq/m<sup>2</sup>/h);  $E_M$ is radon mass exhalation rate (Bq/Kg/h); C is a integrated radon exposure as measured by Lr-115 solid state nuclear track detectors (Bq/m<sup>3</sup>/h); V is the effective volume of the can;  $\lambda$  is the decay constant (hr<sup>-1</sup>); T is the exposure time (hr); A is the area of can (m<sup>2</sup>) and M is the mass of the sample (Mahur, *et al.*,2008)





## **RADIOMETRIC ANALYSIS:**

A low level gamma ray spectrometric measurement was carried out at Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research-Department of Atomic Energy (AMD-DAE), New Delhi, India. The individual samples were placed on the detector  $[5'' \times 4'' \text{ Na(Tl)}]$  manually and each sample was analyzed for a time of about 2000 sec. The detector has a resolution of 6-7% at 0.662 MeV for <sup>137</sup>Cs. The activity of <sup>40</sup>K was measured directly since <sup>40</sup>K is monoenergetic element emitting gamma photons of energy 1.46 MeV using energy regions 1.36-1.56 MeV. Since both U and Th have a long list of daughter products emitting so many gamma photons of different energies, we select the energy for which the interference from other element is low as well as the relative abundance is good. For <sup>238</sup>U, gamma ray of its daughter <sup>214</sup> Bi (1.76MeV) and for <sup>232</sup>Th the gamma ray of its daughter <sup>214</sup> Bi (2.62MeV) using energy region 1.66-1.86MeV and 2.42-2.82 MeV respectively are selected for purpose of spectrometry, which are universally accepted. In the present

#### Síngh

laboratory setup, the standard sample was prepared using rock powder material having 1100ppm of  $U_3O_8$  and 4400ppm of  $ThO_2$  (contain 176ppm of  $U_3O_8$  also in it).

# **METHOD OF RADIOMETRIC ANALYSIS:**

The relative method of estimation for <sup>214</sup>Bi, <sup>208</sup>Tl & <sup>40</sup>K are used for which the following equations are applicable.

Thn=Thg - aUn, net rate of count in Th channel (Thn)

Un=Ug -  $\alpha$ Thn, net rate of count in U channel (Un)

Kn=Kg -  $\beta$ Thn, net rate of count in K channel (Kn)

Thg, Ug and Kg are gross rate of counts (i.e., total rate of count – background rate of count) in respective channels and a,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are stripping constants.

The final concentrations is given by

Conc. Th= Thn/ $S_{Th}$ , Conc. U=Un/ $S_U$ , Conc. K= Kn/ $S_K$ 

Where  $S_{Th}$ ,  $S_U$  and  $S_K$  are the respective channel sensitivities expressed as net rate of count per unit concentrations. As the concentrations found are in ppm, we obtain conc. of Th, U and K in Bq/Kg using the following relation

1 ppm of U=12.36 Bq/Kg

1 ppm of Th= 4.04 Bq/Kg

1% of k= 302.4 Bq/Kg of  $^{40}$ K.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Table 1:** Radon activity and radon exhalation rate in different soil samples

Sample code	Nature	Location	Track density (tr.cm²d <sup>-1</sup> )	Radon activity (Bq m <sup>-3)</sup>	Surface exhalation rates (mBqm <sup>.2</sup> h <sup>.1</sup> )	Mass exhalation rates (mBq Kg <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )
R1	Soil	Rajmahal	39.08	662.49	14.12	0.54
R2	Soil	Mangalahate	85.91	1456.14	31.05	1.19
R3	Soil	Karanpurato	230.95	3914.44	83.47	3.21
R4	Soil	Sahibgang	116.46	1974.03	42.09	1.62
R5	Soil	Borio	247.22	4190.20	89.35	3.44
R6	Ash	Rajmahal Coal	15.67	265.67	5.66	0.21
R7	Soil	Barharwe	219.44	3719.39	79.31	3.05
R8	Sand	Mangla Hate	198.41	3362.92	71.71	2.76
R9	Rock	Dhamdhamia	38.88	659.13	14.05	0.54
R10	Sand	Jumani	27.18	460.72	9.82	0.37
R11	Soil	Barharwa	129.16	2189.26	46.68	1.79
R12	Soil	Barharwa	22.42	380.01	8.10	0.31
R13	Soil	Hiranpur	5.95	100.88	2.15	0.08
R14	Sand	Litipara	58.92	998.78	21.29	0.81
Minimum			5.95	100.88	2.15	0.08
Average			102.54	1738.14	37.06	1.42
Maximum			247.22	4190.20	89.35	3.44

Result of radon activity and radon exhalation from soil, ash, sand and rock samples are presented in different location of Jharkhand state is presented in table 1. Value of radon activity is vary from 100.88 Bq m<sup>-3</sup> to 4190.20 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>with an average 1738.14 Bq m<sup>-3</sup>. Radon mass exhalation and radon surface exhalation results are presented in Table 1. The average activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K were 51.97, 85.34 and 555.32Bq/Kg respectively. The absorbed gamma doses (D) in air due to naturally occurring radionuclide's in the soil sample varied from 56.09 to 175.28 nGyh<sup>-1</sup> with an average value of (100.16) nGyh<sup>-1</sup>. Radium equivalent activity, Effective dose rate, absorbed gamma dose, Level Index, Internal hazard index and external hazard index were also calculated and presented in table 3.

## Singh

# **SPECIFIC ACTIVITY:**

The radioactivity concentration of naturally occurring radionuclide's <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K and ratio of <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U in Bq/Kg of samples with their type and location are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Natural Radioactivity concentration of <sup>226</sup> Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K (Bq/Kg) and ratio of<sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U for soil, ash, sand and rock samples

Sample code	Location	<sup>226</sup> Ra (Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>232</sup> Th (Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>40</sup> k(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>232</sup> Th/ <sup>238</sup> U
R1	Rajmahal	33.64	75.30	577.58	2.23
R2	Mangalahate	29.66	49.73	290.30	1.67
R3	Karanpurato	32.80	47.53	483.84	1.44
R4	Sahibgang	54.08	78.28	408.24	1.44
R5	Borio	57.75	52.97	347.76	0.91
R6	Rajmahal Coal	97.05	122.91	350.78	1.26
R7	Barharwe	81.33	116.87	317.52	1.43
R8	Mangla Hate	77.76	132.81	1363.82	1.70
R9	Dhamdhamia	BDL	BDL	BDL	
R10	Jumani	40.98	121.38	798.33	2.96
R11	Barharwa	BDL	BDL	BDL	
R12	Barharwa	BDL	BDL	BDL	
R13	Hiranpur	37.83	79.02	517.10	2.08
R14	Litipara	28.71	61.91	653.18	2.15
Maximum		97.05	132.81	1363.82	2.96
Average		51.97	85.34	555.32	1.63
Minimum		28.71	47.53	290.30	0.91

**Table 3:** Radium equivalent, dose rate, representative level index, internal hazards and<br/>external hazards index

Sample	Radium equiv	Effective	Absorbed ga	Effective dose	Level	Internal	External Haz
code	alent activity	dose rate	mma dose	equivalent E <sub>P</sub>	Index I <sub>yr</sub>	Hazard	ard
	Raeq	De	rate D			Index H <sub>i</sub>	Index(H <sub>e</sub> )
R1	185.79	0.105	86.39	0.25	1.03	0.59	0.50
R2	123.14	0.069	56.69	0.55	0.73	0.41	0.33
R3	138.04	0.079	64.85	1.48	0.73	0.46	0.37
R4	197.46	0.111	90.62	0.75	1.20	0.67	0.53
R5	160.27	0.090	74.07	1.59	0.96	0.58	0.43
R6	299.82	0.166	135.79	0.10	1.96	1.07	0.80
R7	272.91	0.151	123.39	1.41	1.79	0.95	0.73
R8	372.71	0.214	175.28	1.27	1.96	1.21	1.00
R9				0.25			
R10	276.03	0.156	127.60	0.17	1.57	0.85	0.74
R11				0.83			
R12				0.14			
R13	190.66	0.108	88.12	0.03	1.10	0.61	0.51
R14	167.55	0.096	78.95	0.37	0.86	0.53	0.45
Maximum	372.21	0.214	175.28	0.03	1.96	1.21	1.00
Average	216.77	0.123	100.16	0.66	1.26	0.73	0.59
Minimum	123.14	0.069	56.09	0.73	0.73	0.41	0.33

## **RADIUM EQUIVALENT ACTIVITY:**

To compare the specific activity of the samples containing  $^{226}Ra,\,^{232}Th$  and  $^{40}K$ , the radium equivalent index ( $^{226}Ra_{eq}$ ) has been calculated to obtain the total amount of activities using the following equation-

$$Ra_{eq} = 1.43C_{Th} + C_{Ra} + 0.077C_{K}$$

....(3)

Where  $C_{Th}$ ,  $C_{Ra}$  and  $C_K$  are the activities concentration in (Bq/Kg) of <sup>226</sup>Ra (U series), <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K respectively (Kant, *et al.*, 2010)

#### Singh

## ABSORBED GAMMA DOSE RATE AND THE EFFECTIVE DOSE RATE:

The measured absorbed gamma dose arte in air at 1 m above ground level due to activity concentration of <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>238</sup>U series and <sup>40</sup>K are calculated using the formula ((UNSCEAR 1988)-

$$D_{R} = K_{K}C_{K} + K_{Th}C_{Th} + K_{Ra}C_{Ra} \qquad \dots (4)$$

Where  $D_R$  is the absorb dose rate (nGy hr<sup>-1</sup>), and  $K_K$ ,  $K_{Th}$  and  $K_{Ra}$  are the conversion factors (or dose rate coefficient) for potassium (0.043), Thorium (0.662) and Radium (0.427) respectively measured in nGy hr<sup>-1</sup>per Bq/Kg<sup>-1</sup>. To estimate the annual effective dose rates,the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose in air to effective dose rates (0.7 Sv Gy<sup>-1</sup>) and outdoor occupancy factor (0.2) proposed by UNSCEARE(2000) were used. Effective dose rate (mSv y<sup>-1</sup>) =Absorbed dose rate in air-

$$(nGyh^{-1}) \times 8760 h \times 0.2 \times 0.7 \text{ Sv } Gy^{-1} \times 10^{-6}$$
 ....(5)

The results of absorbed gamma dose rate and effective dose rate are given in Table (2).

# **EFFECTIVE DOSE EQUIVALENT (E<sub>p</sub>):**

A parameter for measure the risk of lung cancer due to radon and its progeny can be find out is effective dose equivalent( $E_p$ ).Contribution of indoor radon concentration can be measured from the expression((Nazaroff and Nero, 1988)-

$$C_{Rn}=(E_x \times S)/(V \times \lambda_V) \qquad \dots (6)$$

Where  $C_{Rn}$ -radon concentration (Bq m<sup>-3</sup>),  $E_x$ -radon exhalation rate (mBqm<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>), V-room volume;  $\lambda_V$ -air exchange rate (h<sup>-1</sup>). In calculation, the maximum radon concentration from the building material was assessed by assuming the room as a cavity with S/V=2.0 m<sup>-1</sup> and air exchange rate of 0.5h<sup>-1</sup>. Annual exposure to potential alpha energy ( $E_p$ ) is related to average radon concentration-

$$C_{Rn} E_p(WLMy^{-1}) = (8760 \times n \times F \times C_{Rn}) / (170 \times 3700) \qquad \dots (7)$$

Where n is the fraction of time spent indoors taken as 0.8; 8760, the number of hours per year; 170, the hours per working month and F is the equilibrium factor taken as 0.4 as suggested by UNSCEAR (2000).

## **REPRESENTATIVE LEVEL INDEX (I**yr):

In order to examine whether the samples meet these limits of dose criteria, Radiation hazard index (representative level index)  $I_{yr}$  associated with the natural radionuclides in specific investigated samples is given by NEA-OECD (1979) as-

$$I_{yr} (Bq/Kg) = (1/150)C_{Ra} + (1/100)C_{Th} + (1/1500)C_{K}.$$
 ....(8)

# EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL HAZARD INDEX:

To limit the annual external gamma-ray dose to 1.5 mSvY<sup>-1</sup> (UNSCEARE 2000), the external hazard index is used. The external hazard index is obtained from  $Ra_{eq}$  expression through the supposition that its allowed maximum value (equal to unity) corresponds to the upper limit of  $Ra_{eq}$  (370Bq/Kg) given by the following equation (Beretka & Mathew, 1985)

$$H_{ex} = C_{Ra} / 370 + C_{Th} / 259 + C_K / 4810 \le ....(9)$$

The internal exposure to 222Rn and its radioactive progeny is controlled by internal hazard index,  $(H_{in})$  which is given by (Beretka & Mathew, 1985):

$$H_{in} = C_{Ra} / 185 + C_{Th} / 259 + C_K / 4810 \le 1 \qquad \dots (10)$$

For the safe use of a material in the construction of dwellings and to keep the radiation hazard to be insignificant, this index value must be less than unity.

The value of radium equivalent in Bq/Kg, representative level index, internal hazards and external hazards of soil, ash, sand and rock sample are listed in table 2. From the table it is

#### Síngh





Fig. 2: Bar diagram showing the activity concentration of radionuclide's





## Singh

#### CONCLUSION

Gamma ray spectrometry has been used to determine the radioactivity concentration  $^{226}$ Ra,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K in the studied sample collected from various location of Jharkhand state..The radiological parameters such as radium equivalent activity (Ra<sub>eq</sub>) and activity index shows that internal dose due to natural radioactivity in the samples used not exceed the dose criteria. The ratio of  $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U (Clark's value = 3.5) for all samples are less than Clark's value, (U enrichment). Also internal hazard index and external hazard index are less than the international average value. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 shows the bar diagram showing the activity concentration of radionuclide's and Absorbed dose, Level index, internal hazard index and external hazard index. According to recommendation of International Commission on Radiation Protection (ICRP -65, 1993) remedial action is justified above a continuous effective dose of 10mSv and proposed the action level for radon concentration should be in range between 200 and 600 Bqm<sup>-3</sup>.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author is thankful to Dr. B. K. Bhaumik, Head (Physics lab) Department of Atomic Energy, Atomic Minerals Directorate for Exploration and Research (AMD), Northern Region, New Delhi, for their constant encouragement and support to carry out this research work. This work is a part of joint collaborative research work between the University school of Basic and Applied science, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi and Atomic mineral Directorate for Exploration and Research- Department of Atomic Energy (AMD-DAE), New Delhi, India.

#### REFERENCES

- **1.** Abu-Jarad F. (1988): Application of nuclear track detectors for radon related measurements. Nuclear Tracks and Radiation Measurements, 15: 525.
- **2.** Baranwal V.C., Sharma S.P., Sengupta D. *et al.*, (2006): A new high background radiation area in the geothermal region of eastern Ghats Mobi Belt (EGMB) of Orissa, India. Radiation measurement, 41: 602-610.
- **3.** Beretka J. and Mattew P.J. (1985): Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and by-products, Health Physics, 48: 87-95.
- **4.** Canbaz, Buket, Çam, N. Füsun, Yaprak, Günseli and Candan Osman (2010): Natural radioactivity (<sup>226</sup>ra, <sup>232</sup>th and<sup>40</sup>k) and assessment of radiological hazards in the kestanbol granitoid, turkeyradiation protection dosimetry.
- **5.** ICRP-65 (1993): International Commision of Radiation Protection; Protection against radon-222 at home at work, Annals of ICRP, 3(2):1-48.
- **6.** Kant K., Rashmi, Kuriakosi S., Sonkawde R.G., Chauhan R.P., Chakarvarti S.K. and Sharma G.S. (2010): Radon activity and exhalation rates in Indian flyash samples, 48: 457-462.
- **7.** MacKenzie A.B. (2000): Environmental radioactivity: experiencefrom 20th century-trends and issues for the 21st century. Sci.Tot. Environ., 249: 313–329.
- **8.** Mahur A.K., Kumar R., Sonkawde R.G., Sengupta D. and Prasad R (2008): Measurement of natural radioactivity and radon exhalation rate from rock samples of Jaduguda uranium mines and its radiological implications. Nuclear Instrument and Method in Physics Research, B266: 1591-1597.
- **9.** Mohanty A.K., Sengupta D., Das S.K., Vijayan V. and Saha S.K. (2004): Natural radioactivity in the newly discovered highbackground radiation area on the eastern coast of Orissa India. Radiat. Meas., 38: 153-165.
- **10.** Nazaroff W.W. and Nero Jr. A.V. (1988): Radon and Its Decay Products in Indoor Air. A Wiley Interscience Publication, New York.
- **11.** Rao N.S., Sengupta D., Guin R. and Saha S.K. (2009): Natural radioactivity measurement in beach sand along southern coast of Orissa, eastern India. Environmental Earth Sci., 59: 593-601.
- **12.** Singh A.K., Jojo P.J., Khan A.J., Prasad R. and Ramchandran T.V. (1997): Calibration of track detectors and measurement of radon exhalationrate from solid samples. Radiat. Prot. Environ., 3: 129-133.
- **13.** Sunta C.M., David M., Ambani M.C., Basu A.S., Vohra K.G., *et al.*, (1982): The Natural Radiation Environment (India : Wiley Eastern Limited), pp.- 35.
- **14.** UNSCEARE (1993): Source and effect of ionizing radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the effect of Atomic Radiation, Newyork.
- **15.** UNSCEARE (2000): Source and effect of ionizing radiation. United Nations Scientific Committee on the effect of Atomic Radiation, Newyork.
- **16.** Veiga L. and Amaral E. (1999): Magalhaes, Second Symposium on Technologically Enhanced Natural Radiation, Rio de Jaaneiro, Brazil.