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#### Abstract

In the literature some scholars tried to find measures for income inequality by taking ratio from mean income. In the present paper an attempt has been made to develop a measure of income inequality by taking ratio of maximum income to mean income. To establish show the computational process a hypothetical data has been used. Key words: Income Inequality, Geometric and Harmonic Mean
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## INTRODUCTION

In the previous paper Singh (2016) developed a measure of income inequality by using deviation from maximum income. Later, in the literature, it has been found that some scholars used the ratio in place of deviation so in the present paper an attempt has been made to develop a measure by using ratio in place of deviation.

## MEASURES BASED ON RATIO OF MAXIMUM INCOME TO MEAN INCOME

In the paper Singh (2016) a measure of income inequality has been developed by taking deviation from maximum income as-

$$
I_{1}=\left(n R_{\mathrm{n}}-n \overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)
$$

Further, it has been improved index by assigning large weights to larger income deviations about $R_{n}$ as

$$
I_{4}=\frac{1}{R_{n}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(R_{n}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n\left(R_{n}-\bar{x}\right)}
$$

Now the single measure $I_{4}$ is:

$$
I_{4}=\frac{(n-1)}{n^{2} R_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(R_{n}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(R_{n}-\bar{x}\right)}
$$

In case, $R_{n}$ is replaced by $Z_{(1-\alpha)}$ then the index becomes:

$$
I_{5}=\frac{(n-1)}{n^{2} z_{(1-\alpha)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(z_{(1-\alpha)}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(z_{(1-\alpha)}-\bar{x}\right)}
$$

## Singh

In the above measures we have used deviation from maximum value to find income inequality. Some scholars used the ratio in place of deviation so we also take ratio in place of deviation. Then, we can consider an index as:

$$
I_{6}=\frac{R_{n}}{\bar{x}}
$$

Here $I_{6}$, is an indicator or simple index of income inequality. If all observations have equal income i.e. $x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots . .=x_{n}$ then $I_{6}=1$.
If, $\quad x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots . .=x_{n-1}=0$ and last person get all income i.e. $R_{n}=x_{n}$.

$$
I_{6}=\frac{x_{n}}{x_{n} / n}=n
$$

The range of this indicator is $(1, n)$.
To compare the range with their standard measures, the modified measure is as follows:

$$
I_{7}=1-\frac{\bar{x}}{R_{n}}
$$

The range of this indicator is $(0,1-1 / n)$.
If the Arithmetic mean is replaced by geometric mean and Harmonic mean, then the proposed indices are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{8}=1-\frac{G \cdot M .}{R_{n}} \\
& I_{9}=1-\frac{H \cdot M .}{R_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the income be represented on real line as follows:


Here, the incomes $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots ., z_{k}$ are below first quartile $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$ are the incomes above third quartile $\left(Q_{3}\right)$. Clearly, the incomes $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots \ldots, Z_{k}$ are usually much smaller than $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$.
Now, we can define upper range and lower range as $R_{n}-Q_{3}$ and $Q_{1}-R_{1}$, where $R_{1}$ is minimum income of the data.
In case of high inequality, $R_{n}-Q_{3}$ is sufficiently larger then $Q_{1}-R_{1}$.
Therefore, the ratio-

$$
I_{10}=\frac{R_{n}-Q_{3}}{Q_{1}-R_{1}}
$$

May be considered as a measure of inequality, $I_{10}$ lies between $o$ and $\infty$. The interpretation of $I_{10}$ is as:

$$
I_{10}=\frac{\text { Range of upper } 25 \%}{\text { Range of lower } 25 \%}
$$

In general-

$$
I_{10}=\frac{\text { Range of upper } \alpha \%}{\text { Range of lower } \alpha \%}
$$

## Singh

$I_{10}$ is based on the extreme values i.e. $R_{1}, R_{n}, Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. If the incomes in the upper range and lower range are also taken into account, then following will be the measure of income inequality:

$$
I_{10}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i}}=\frac{\text { Total income of upper } 25 \%}{\text { Total income of lower } 25 \%}
$$

The range of this indicator is $(1, \infty)$.
In general,

$$
I_{10}=\frac{\text { Total income of upper } \alpha \%}{\text { Total income of lower } \alpha \%}
$$

## COMPUTATION FOR A HYPOTHETICAL DATA

Now, we consider the same hypothetical data to compute some proposed measures used in Singh (2016). Suppose there is a firm of 50 persons and the monthly salary of these employees are as follows:-

| 2300 | 2350 | 2200 | 2400 | 3000 | 3200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3500 | 2600 | 2800 | 2250 | 2500 | 3000 |
| 3500 | 5000 | 5200 | 2800 | 2700 | 3000 |
| 3200 | 2700 | 4500 | 5000 | 6000 | 5500 |
| 5800 | 10000 | 12000 | 13000 | 14000 | 13000 |
| 12000 | 18000 | 16000 | 15000 | 19000 | 17000 |
| 14000 | 13000 | 16000 | 16000 | 18000 | 5250 |
| 11000 | 13000 | 16000 | 30000 | 32000 | 35000 |
| 50000 | 3350 |  |  |  |  |

Here the maximum value is-

$$
R_{n}=50000
$$

And the mean income of the above data is-

$$
\bar{x}=10376
$$

Then index $I_{1}$ is-

$$
I_{1}=1981200
$$

Further, $I_{4}=\frac{(50-1)}{50^{2} \times 50000} 2101556.38$

$$
=0.823810101
$$

And,

$$
I_{7}=0.479045
$$

Now the proposed sixth indicator is-

$$
I_{6}=50000 / 10376=4.818812644
$$

and the seventh indicator is-

$$
I_{7}=1-(10376 / 50000)
$$

Hence,

$$
I_{7}=0.79248
$$

The other index for the above data are-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{8}=0.999971 \\
& I_{9}=0.898787
\end{aligned}
$$

## Singh

To find the value of further indices we have to arrange the "data according to ascending order and then find the value of Ist Quartile and IIIrd Quartile. Then the value of Ist Quartile is-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=12.5^{\text {th }} \text { term }=12^{\text {th }} \text { term }+0.5\left(13^{\text {th }} \text { term }-12^{\text {th }} \text { term }\right) \\
& Q_{1}=3000+0.5 \times(3000-3000)=3000
\end{aligned}
$$

The value of III ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Quartile is-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{3}=37.5^{\text {th }} \text { term }=37^{\text {th }} \text { term }+0.5\left(38^{\text {th }} \text { term }-37^{\text {th }} \text { term }\right) \\
& Q_{1}=14000+0.5 \times(15000-14000)=14500
\end{aligned}
$$

The minimum value is $=2200$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{10}=(50000-14500) /(3000-2200) \\
& I_{10}=35500 / 800=44.375
\end{aligned}
$$

## CONCLUSION

In the present paper measure of income inequality $\mathrm{I}_{6}$ has been developed by taking ratio of maximum income to mean income instead of deviation. Further to make it more reliable and valid under different conditions the proposed measure has been modified by taking geometric mean and harmonic mean in place of arithmetic mean. Also, a measure based on lower and upper quartiles has been discussed.
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