Asian Journal of Agriculture & Life Sciences

Website: www.crsdindia.com/ajals.html



**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

### Fecundity Preference of *Pieris brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on Different Cole Crops

### **Arshad Ali**

Department of Zoology, Gandhi Faiz-e-Aam (P.G.) College- 2420071, UP, India Email: drarshadali@yahoo.com

Received: 02<sup>nd</sup> July 2017, Revised: 15<sup>th</sup> August 2017, Accepted: 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2017

### ABSTRACT

In an experiment, Pieris brassicae showed significant variations in fecundity and pivotal age of butterfly with respect to different cole crops. The higher fecundity was recorded as 160 eggs in 5 days pivotal age of butterfly on cabbage. The percent reduction in fecundity with respect to cabbage was recorded as 11.86% on cauliflower, 28.13% on gobhi sarson, 33.13% on yellow sarson and 38.13% on Indian mustard. The life table parameters i.e., superior net reproductive rate and inferior length of generation was recorded as 42.13 females/female/generation and 29.85 days on cabbage. As far as rate of development was concerned, the higher intrinsic rate and lower finite rate was observed as 0.1081 and 1.3282 females/female/day on cabbage. The shortest doubling time and highest annual rate of increase of butterfly recorded as 6.41 days and 1.42E+17/annum, when it reared on cabbage. The fecundity of cabbage butterfly showed preference in an order of cabbage (Brassica oleracea var.capitata) > cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis) > gobhi sarson (Brassica napus var.neelam) > yellow sarson (Brassica rapa var. jhumka) > Indian mustard (Brassica juncea var. varuna).

Key words: Annual rate of increase, Doubling time, Net reproductive rate, Potential fecundity

### INTRODUCTION

The crops grown in winter season are known as cole crops. It consist several vegetables of family Brassicae, including broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, collards, rapeseed etc. The nutrient content in cole crops varies considerably. It contains vitamins like vitamin A, vitamin B, vitamin C and vitamin D, and also contains carbohydrates and minerals like Calcium, Phosphorus etc. Cabbage is a major cole crop of India, cultivated in both hills and plain regions (Khalid, 2006). It is annual or biennial polymorphous, glabrous and dicotyledon flowering plant and derived from leafy wild mustard found in mediterranean region around 100 AD. Cabbage is a hardy vegetable, grows in fertile soil and can be harvested any time after formation of head (Ali *et al.*, 2017). Cauliflower is also closely related to cabbage and has a compact head. It is less hardy than cabbage, requires cold and moist climate for satisfactory growth. It is rich in minerals, namely, iron, magnesium, phosphorous etc (Ali and Rizvi, 2007, Iqbal *et al.*, 2014). Besides cabbage, cauliflower, rapeseed-mustard also has commercial importance under oilseeds belonging to cruciferae grown in India. It is most important oilseed crop next to groundnut in the country. These crops are grown both in sub-tropical and tropical part of the country (Rizvi *et al.*, 2009).

The most important factor limiting crop production is the presence of pests especially insects, mites, nematodes and pathogens, cause regular qualitative as well as quantitative losses in diverse ecological conditions. It has been estimated that insect pest alone in different vegetable crops causes more than 40 % of yield loss annually. There are about 38 insect pests, which are known to attack different crops (Pajmon, 1999, Bhati *et al.*, 2015). Among them, *Pieris brassicae* is one of the most destructive pests causing damage at all growing stages such as vegetative, flowering and seedling stage of cabbage, cauliflower and mustard (Sachan and Gangwar, 1980; Lal and Ram, 2004, Khan and Kumar, 2017).

The strategy for insect control in vegetables is necessarily different from other crops because of their nature of utilization. Hence, emphasis must be given to minimize pesticidal load by adopting eco-friendly approaches for insect pest management. Such management initially requires the detailed study on the habits, habitat, life cycle and occurrence of target pests. Therefore, present

experiment designed on the study of fecundity preference of cabbage butterfly *Pieris brassicae* on different cole crops.

### **MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Different cole crops viz., cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata), cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis), gobhi sarson (Brassica napus var. neelam), vellow mustard (Brassica rapa var. jhumka) and Indian mustard (Brassica juncea var. varuna) were raised at experimental field in the Department of Plant Protection, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh. The seeds of cabbage and cauliflower were sown initially in seed beds (2 x 1 m) in the month of September. Transplanting of seedling of these crops were done in randomly selected experimental plots (4 x 5 m, replicated thrice) in the month of October with spacing of  $15 \times 45$  cm. In another experiment, seeds of gobhi sarson, Indian mustard and yellow mustard were sown directly in the experimental field of same measurements. All the plants species were monitored regularly so as to find the initial attack of *P*. *brassicae*. The egg laying of *P. brassicae* commenced on cabbage and cauliflower in the second week of November, and on mustard (Indian, yellow and gobhi sarson) in the last week of November. The female butterfly laid eggs in clusters on lower surface of leaves. They were collected, counted and allowed to hatch on respective crop plants in controlled conditions. After hatching, 100 uniformed zero day age old caterpillars were distributed on each host plant in captivity (covering plant from nylon mesh cages). When caterpillars transformed in to third instar, were distributed individually on cabbage and cauliflower in cage (30 x 30 x 30 cm), however, size of cage for the plant of rapeseed-mustard was 30 x 30 x 50 cm. On account of adult emergence, longevity of male and female were calculated with respect to each crop plant.

To record fecundity, females emerged from cohort of 100 were released in the net on respective crop plant. Moreover, some ornamental plants were also placed in cages as food source of female butterfly. The observations for age specific survival of female and eggs laid were also recorded daily with respective to host crop plant.

After collecting data, fertility table of *P. brassicae* was constructed as per suggestions of Birch (1948) and Southwood (1978) with the following assumptions:

x = Pivotal age of the famale butterfly in days.

 $I_x$  = Number of female butterflies alive at the beginning of the age interval x (as fraction of initial population of one).

 $m_x$  = Average number of eggs laid per female in each age interval assuming 50:50 sex ratio and computed as:

Besides ' $m_x$ ' total number of female off springs in each age interval *i.e.*, female eggs laid in an age interval (x),  $I_x.m_x$  was also computed by multiplying the column  $I_x$  with  $m_x$ . This is also termed as 'Reproductive expectation'.

A number of the parameters were computed from the age specific survival and fertility life-table of female butterfly, it include:

# **1. POTENTIAL FECUNDITY (Pf):**

It expresses the total number of eggs laid by an average female in her life span. It is obtained or calculated by adding up the age specific fecundity column,

$$Pf = \sum m_x$$

# 2. NET REPRODUCTIVE OR REPLACEMENT RATE (R<sub>o</sub>):

This is also referred to as the "carrying capacity" of the average insect under defined environmental conditions. The information on the multiplication rate of a population in one generation is obtained from it. It is denoted as,

 $R_o = I_x m_x$ 

# 3. MEAN LENGTH OF GENERATION (T):

It is defined as the mean period between the birth of the parent and the birth of their off springs. This period is a weighed approximate value since the progeny is produced over a period of time and not at a definite time. Calculation followed the method suggested by Dubin and Lotka (1925) as

 $T = \Sigma [l_x.m_x.x] / \Sigma [l_x.m_x]$ 

# 4. INTRINSIC RATE OF INCREASE (r):

It is also denoted by 'r' or ' $r_{max}$ ' or called as 'biotic potential'. It is defined as the instantaneous rate of increase of a population in a unit time under a set of ecological conditions (Birch, 1948). A rough estimate of the intrinsic rate of increase (r) can be calculated by using the following equation:

$$r = [Log_e R_o] / T$$

Where,  $R_o$  represents net reproductive rate, which is calculated by multiplying  $I_x$  and  $m_x$ . *i.e.*,  $R_o = l_x \cdot m_x$ .

'T' represents mean length of generation. For an accurate estimate of 'r' Birch (1948) introduced some approximation method to minimize the experimental errors in the formula suggested by Lotka (1925). This is as under:

$\Sigma e^{-rx} I_x m_x$ . $d_x = 1$	Lotka (1925)
$e^{-rx} I_x m_x = 1$	Birch (1948)

### 5. DOUBLING TIME (DT):

It is defined as the time required for the population to double and is calculated as follows:

 $DT = Log_e 2/r$ 

# 6. FINITE RATE OF INCREASE ( $\lambda$ ):

It provides information about the frequency of population multiplication in a unit of time (Birch, 1948). It is denoted as

 $\lambda$  = e^r. Taking log on both sides we get; log\_e  $\lambda$  = log\_e e^r

where, 
$$\lambda = Antilog e^{r}$$

This was used for computing the rate of increase of population per year.

# 7. ANNUAL RATE OF INCREASE (ARI):

This can be calculated from the intrinsic rate of increase (r) or finite rate of increase ( $\lambda$ ) or doubling time (DT) or the net reproductive rate (R<sub>o</sub>) assuming that the rate of increase was constant throughout the year.

ARI =  $365 = e^{365r} = 2^{365/DT} = R_0^{365/T}$ 

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The observations on fecundity of *Pieris brassicae* showed significant variations in egg laying capacity with respect to their pivotal age and food plants. The maximum pivotal age of butterfly was recorded as 5 days on cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata) and cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis), whereas, on other cole crops viz., gobhi sarson (Brassica napus var neelam), yellow sarson (Brassica rapa var. jhumka) and Indian mustard (Brassica juncea var. varuna), it was recorded as 4 days (Table 1). The higher number of eggs laid by female P. brassicae was recorded as 58.90 eggs on 34.5 day, 53.50 eggs on 35.5 day, 42.30 eggs on 37.5 day, 38.50 eggs on 38.5 day, and 35.50 eggs on 40.5 day on cabbage, cauliflower, gobhi sarson, yellow mustard and Indian mustard, respectively (Table 1). The fecundity of butterfly also varied and showed variable pattern with respect to different cole crops, and peak fecundity was observed on cabbage (160 eggs/female), followed by cauliflower (141 eggs/female), gobhi sarson (115 eggs/female), yellow sarson (107 eggs/female), Indian mustard (98 eggs/female), respectively (Table 1). The findings of Chew (1980), Eltez (1999), Thakur et al., (1998), Gupta (2002), Metspalu et al., (2003), Lal and Ram (2004), Younas et al., (2004), Khalid (2006), Lytan and Firake (2012), Geiselhardt et al., (2013), Sadozai and Khan, (2014) stated that among different crops, P. brassicae showed preference on cabbage and given strengthen to present findings.

The percent reduction in fecundity with respect to cabbage (highest fecundity 160 eggs) was recorded 11.86% on cauliflower, 28.13% on gobhi sarson, 33.13% on yellow sarson and 38.13% on Indian mustard (Table 1 & 2). In previous finding Chandra and Lal, (1976), Gupta (2002) and Geiselhardt *et al.*, (2013) also recorded highest fecundity of *P. brassicae* on cabbage and also showed corroboration with present findings. While comparing other life table parameters, it was observed that superior net reproductive rate of female butterfly was observed on cabbage (42.13 females/female/generation) and inferior on Indian mustard (15.19 females/female/generation).

Pivotal age (Days) (x)	Age specific female survivorship (l <sub>x</sub> )	Natality rate (m <sub>x</sub> )	Net Reproductive Rate (l <sub>x</sub> .m <sub>x</sub> )	(l <sub>x</sub> .m <sub>x</sub> .x)	Value of e-rx.l <sub>x</sub> .m <sub>x</sub> when	% contribution of each age group towards 'r'				
Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata)r= 0.10820.5 to 21.5 immeture stages and pre-evidencialr= 0.1082										
0.5 to 31.5 immature stages and pre-oviposition period										
32.5	0.11	18.40	2.02	65.78	0.06	6.01				
33.5	0.24	28.50	6.84	229.14	0.18	18.23				
34.5	0.33	58.90	19.44	670.58	0.46	46.50				
35.5	0.28	45.80	12.82	455.25	0.28	27.53				
36.5	0.12	8.40	1.01	36.79	0.02	1.94				
SUM		160	42.13	1457.54	1.00	100.00				
	Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis)r= 0.09510.5 to 32.5 immature stages and pre-oviposition periodr= 0.0951									
33.5	0.09	16.50	1.49	49.75	0.06	6.14				
34.5	0.18	26.60	4.79	49.75	0.08	18.00				
35.5	0.18	53.50	14.98	531.79	0.18	51.20				
35.5	0.28		7.01	256.01	0.51	21.80				
		33.40								
37.5	0.1	11.00	1.10	41.25	0.03	3.11				
SUM		141	29.37	1043.98	1.00	100.00				
<b>Gobhi sarson (</b> <i>Brassica napus</i> var. neelam) r= 0.0824										
	nmature stages and p	-								
36.5	0.16	23.50	3.76	137.24	0.19	18.58				
37.5	0.25	42.30	10.58	396.56	0.48	48.12				
38.5	0.19	36.60	6.95	267.73	0.29	29.14				
39.5	0.09	12.60	1.13	44.79	0.04	4.38				
SUM		115	22.42	846.32	1.00	100.00				
	tard (Brassica rapa				r= 0.0757					
	nmature stages and p	-								
37.5	0.14	21.60	3.02	113.40	0.18	17.69				
38.5	0.23	38.50	8.86	340.92	0.48	48.02				
39.5	0.17	32.40	5.51	217.57	0.28	27.69				
40.5	0.1	14.50	1.45	58.73	0.07	6.76				
SUM		107	18.84	730.61	1.00	100.00				
	<b>tard (<i>Brassica junce</i></b> nmature stages and p				r= 0.0677					
38.5	0.08	15.50	1.24	47.74	0.09	9.15				
38.5	0.08	28.00	4.76	188.02	0.09	32.83				
40.5	0.20	35.50	7.10	287.55	0.46	45.76				
41.5	0.11	19.00	2.09	86.74	0.13	12.59				
SUM		98	15.19	610.05	1.00	100.33				

Table 1: Fecundity preference of cabbage butterfly, Pieris brassicae on different cole crops

Table 2: Life-table parameters of female cabbage butterfly, Pieris brassicae on different cole crops

S.No.	Life table Parameter	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Gobhi sarson	Yellow mustard	Indian mustard
1	Potential Fecundity (PF)	160.00	141.00	115.00	107.00	98.00
2	Net Reproductive Rate (R <sub>o</sub> )	42.13	29.37	22.42	18.84	15.19
3	Mean Length of Generation (T)	34.59	35.55	37.74	38.79	40.16
4	Intrinsic Rate of Increase (r)	0.1081	0.0951	0.0824	0.0757	0.0677
5	Finite Rate of Increase ( $\lambda$ )	1.3282	1.3842	1.4463	1.4832	1.5314
6	Doubling Time (DT)	6.41	7.29	8.41	9.16	10.24
7	Annual Rate of Increase	1.42E+17	1.19E+15	1.15E+13	9.99E+11	5.39E+10

The shortest length of generation favour to high multiplication of a population, in present investigations, shortest mean length generation was recorded as 29.85 days on cabbage followed by 35.55 days on cauliflower, 37.74 days on gobhi sarson, 38.79 days on yellow sarson and 40.16

days on Indian mustard, respectively (Table 2). In another experiment Ali and Rizvi (2007), Lytan and Firake (2012), Mehrkhou and Sarhozaki (2014) recorded favourable developmental response of *P. brassicae* on cabbage than other crops, and this statement is in agreement with the present findings.

As far as developmental rate was concerned, the higher intrinsic rate and lower finite rate favours the healthy growth of a population. During present investigations, the maximum intrinsic rate was recorded as 0.1081 females/female/day on cabbage and minimum as 0.0677 females/female/day on Indian mustard (Table 2). However, minimum finite rate of increase was recorded as 1.3282 females/female/day on cabbage and the maximum as 1.05314 females/female/day on Indian mustard (Table 2). The shortest doubling time of butterfly again recorded as 6.41 days when it reared on cabbage and longest as 10.24 days on Indian mustard. Similarly, there was a marked variation in annual rate of increase (ARI) of *P. brassicae* and highest ARI (1.42E+17/annum) was observed on cabbage followed by (1.19E+15/annum) on cauliflower, (1.15E+13/annum) on gobhi sarson, (9.99E+11/annum) on yellow mustard and lowest (1.10E+09/annum) on Indian mustard (Table 2). Similar parameters were also recorded for *Spilosoma obliqua, Helicoverpa armigera, Corcyra cephalonica, Coccinella transversalis, Pieris brassicae* by Choudhary and Bhattacharya (1986), Veera Reddy and Bhattacharya (1998), Naqvi (1998), Ali and Rizvi (2008), Mehrkhou and Sarhozaki (2014), respectively.

### CONCLUSION

The results can be concluded that different fecundity parameters of cabbage butterfly, *P. brassicae* showed speedy development or fecundity preference on cabbage followed by cauliflower, gobhi sarson, yellow mustard and Indian mustard under controlled conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author is highly thankful to Dr. P.Q. Rizvi, Professor, Section of Entomology, Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh to support present research work.

#### REFERENCES

- **1.** Ali A. and Rizvi P.Q. (2007): Developmental response of cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on different cole crops under laboratory and field condition. Asian J. Pl. Sci., 6: 1241-1245.
- **2.** Ali A. and Rizvi P.Q. (2008): Age specific survival and fecundity table of Coccinella transversalis Fabr. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on different aphid species. J. Eco-friend. Agric., 3: 34-39.
- **3.** Ali S., Ullah M.I., Arshad M., Iftikhar Y., Saqib M. and Afzal M. (2017): Effect of botanicals and synthetic insecticides on *Pieris brassicae* (L., 1758) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Türkiye Entomoloji Dergisi, 41: 275-284.
- **4.** Bhati R., Sharma R.C. and Singh R. (2015): Studies on occurrence of insect-pests of different Brassica species. Internat. J. Curr. Sci., 14: 125-132.
- 5. Birch L.C. (1948): The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. J. Ani. Ecol., 17: 15-26.
- **6.** Chandra J. and Lal O.P. (1976): Development and survival of cabbage butterfly *Pieris brassicae*. (Linn.) on some variety of cabbage. Indian J. Entomol., 38: 187-188.
- 7. Chew F.S. (1980): Food plant preference of *Pieris* caterpillar (Lepidoptera). Oecologia, 46: 347-353.
- 8. Choudhary R.R.P. and Bhattacharya A.K. (1986): Bio-ecology of lepidopterous insects on winged bean, *Prophocarpuss tetragonolabus* (L.), D.C. Memoir No. 11, Entomological Society of India, New Delhi, 11: 130.
- **9.** Dubin L.I. and Lotka A.K. (1925): On the true rate of natural increase as exemplified by the population of United States. J. Ameri. Stat. Assoc., 20: 305-339.
- **10.** Eltez S. (1999): The effect of feeding on the size and weight of pupae of *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Cruciferae Newslet., 3: 13-15.
- **11.** Geiselhardt S., Yoneya K., Blenn B., Drechsler N., Gershenzon J., Kunze R. and Hilker M. (2013): Egg laying of cabbage white butterfly (*Pieris brassicae*) on *Arabidopsis thaliana* affects subsequent performance of the larvae. Plos one, 8: e59661. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0059661.
- **12.** Gupta R. (2002): Food preference of the 5<sup>th</sup> instar cabbage white butterfly, Pieris brassicae, to cole crop. Pest Manag. Econ. Zool., 10: 205-207.
- **13.** Iqbal S. and Ashfaq M., Khan M.A. and Javed N. (2014): Cultivar variation of cauliflower against cabbage butterfly *Pieris brassicae* (L.) Pieridae: Lepidoptera. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 51: 315-319.
- **14.** Khalid S. (2006): Bio-ecological studes of *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on dfferent hosts. M.Sc. Dissertation, Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Science, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

- **15.** Khan H.H. and Kumar A. (2017): Effect of certain chemicals and bio-pesticide on the 3rd instar larvae of the cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (Linn.) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). J. Entomol. Zool. Stud., 5: 753-75.
- **16.** Lal M.N. and Ram B. (2004): Cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* L. an upcoming menance for Brassicae oilseed crop in Northern India. Cruciferae Newslett., 25: 83-86.
- 17. Lotka A.J. (1925): Elements of physical biology. Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins, pp: 358.
- **18.** Lytan D. and Firake D.M. (2012): Effects of different host plants and rearing atmosphere on life cycle of large white cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (Linnaeus). Archiv. Phytopath. Pl. Protec., 45: 1819-1825.
- **19.** Mehrkhou F. and Sarhozaki M.T. (2014): Life table parameters of large white butterfly *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on different cabbage varieties. Archiv. Phytopath. Pl. Protec., 47: 1444-1453.
- **20.** Metspalu L., Hiiessar K. and Jogar K. (2003): Plant influencing the behaviour of *Pieris brassicae* to cole crop. Pest Manage. Econ. Zool, 10: 205-207.
- **21.** Naqvi N.A. (1998): Construction of life-tables for *Corcyra cephalonica* (Stainton) on different diets. M.Sc. Dissertation, Institute of Agriculture, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- 22. Pajmon A. (1999): Pest of cabbage. Sodobna Kemetijstvo, 32: 537-540.
- **23.** Rizvi P.Q., Ali A. and Khalid S. (2009): Age and stage-specific life-table of cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on different cole crops. J. Pl. Protec. Res., 49: 145-150.
- **24.** Sachan J.N. and Gangwar S.K. (1980): Vertical distribution of important pest of cole crop in Meghalya as influenced by the environment factors. Indian J. Entomol., 42: 414-421.
- **25.** Sadozai A. and Khan I. (2014): Developmental response of *Pieris brassicae* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) on different cauliflower cultivars under laboratory conditions. American J. Pl. Sci., 5: 2611-2616.
- **26.** Southwood T.R.E. (1978): Ecological methods with particular reference to study of insect population. The English Language Book Society and Chapman and Hall, London, pp: 524.
- 27. Thakur S., Kashyap N.P. and Chandel K.S. (1998): Ovipositional response and infestation caused by cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (L.) to different cruciferae crop under field condition in Himachal Pardesh. J. Insect. Sci., 11: 64-65.
- **28.** VeeraReddy C.G. and Bhattacharya A.G. (1998): Life table studies on the *Heliothes armigaria* (Hubner) on the semi synhetic diets. Indian J. Entomol., 50(3): 357-370.
- **29.** Younas M., Naeem M., Raquib A. and Masud S. (2004): Population dyanimcs of *Pieris brassicae* on five cultivar of cauliflower at Peshawar. Asian J. Plant Sci., 3: 391-393.